



Aflatoxin M₁ Contamination in Industrial and Traditional Yogurts Produced in Iran

S. Mason¹, S. Arjmandtalab^{2*}, B. Hajimohammadi^{3,4}, A. Khosravi Arsanjani⁴, S. Karami², M. Sayadi⁵, A. Oryan⁶

1. School of Biology, Faculty of Biological Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

2. Deputy of Food and Drug Control, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

3. Research Center for Molecular Identification of Food Hazards, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

4. Department of Food Hygiene and Safety, Faculty of Health, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran

5. Behbahan Faculty of Medical Sciences, Behbahan, Iran

6. Department of Pathology, School of Veterinary Medicine, Shiraz University, Shiraz, Iran

Article type

Original article

Abstract

Keywords

Aflatoxin M₁
Yogurt
Iran

Background: Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁) is a major carcinogenic compound that may be existed in milk and dairy products. This study was aimed to determine the presence of AFM₁ in industrial and traditional yogurt samples produced in Iran.

Methods: A total of 80 yogurt samples (40 industrial, 40 traditional) was collected from supermarkets in Yazd city, Iran. AFM₁ content of the selected samples was determined by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA).

Results: Analysis of the data indicated that 96.25% of the yogurt samples were contaminated with AFM₁ in concentration levels ranging from <5 to 91 ng/kg. The AFM₁ content of 5% of the samples was higher than the limit accepted by European Union (50 ng/kg). The mean concentration of AFM₁ in traditional yogurt (33.6 ng/kg) was significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher than industrial ones (24.55 ng/kg).

Conclusion: High level of AFM₁ contamination of yogurt produced in Iran could be a serious risk for the public health.

Introduction

Aflatoxins are the main class of mycotoxins produced by *Aspergillus* species (*A. flavus*, *A. parasiticus* and rarely *A. nomius*) that occur in some food and feeds including tree nuts, spices, dried fruits and cereals during agriculture activities (Pitt, 2000). Aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁) is the most toxic among different types of aflatoxins (Creppy, 2002; Montaseri et al., 2014; Prandini et al., 2009; Tajkarimi et al., 2008).

Aflatoxin M₁ (AFM₁), as the major metabolite of AFB₁, may be existed in milk and dairy products (Khodadadi et al., 2014; Prandini et al., 2009). It has been stated that about 0.5-5% of AFB₁ content in ingested feed of lactating

animals converse to AFM₁ excreting in milk (Murphy et al., 2006; Neal et al., 1998). The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC, 2002) includes AFM₁ in group 1 carcinogen toxins.

According to the European Commission (EC, 2006), AFM₁ concentration up to 0.05 µg/kg has been determined in milk and other types of dairy products. The Food and Drug Organization (FDA, 1996) of United States has established an acceptable limit of AFM₁ in 0.5 µg/l from whole fat, low fat and skimmed milk. According to Institute of Standard and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI, 2010), the accepted limit of AFM₁ in milk and yogurt has been set up to 0.5 µg/kg. When dairy products are manufactured from AFM₁ contaminated milk, the toxin could be detected in

*Corresponding author
E-mail: soliman.arjomand@yahoo.com

these products (Bakirci, 2001), because AFM₁ is a stable compound that persists against most of food processing stages (Fallah, 2010a; Iha et al., 2013).

Previous investigations revealed relatively high occurrence of AFM₁ in milk samples of Iran (Fallah et al., 2011; Montasri et al., 2014). However, studies on AFM₁ contamination of yogurt, as a popular dairy product, are very limited. Thus, the objective of this work was to assess the level of AFM₁ in yogurt samples produced in Iran.

Materials and methods

Sampling

A total of 80 yogurt samples (40 industrial, 40 traditional) collected from supermarkets in Yazd, central part of Iran, during spring and summer 2013. Appropriate amount of each sample was prepared and stored at -20 °C until the time of analysis. All samples analyzed before their expiry date.

Determination of AFM₁

AFM₁ detection was performed using the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) kit named RIDAScreen aflatoxin M₁ (Biopharm, #R1101). Most of the used reagents were supplied by the kit manufacturer. The other chemicals such as methanol, chloroform, dichloromethane and heptane in analytical grade obtained from Merck (Darmstadt, Germany). For conducting recovery study, AFM₁ as a standard was purchased from Sigma Chemical Company (USA). The stock solution of AFM₁ (10 mg/ml) was prepared in methanol. Appropriate portions of the stock solution were evaporated and diluted with methanol/chloroform (1:1 v/v) to give a concentration of 50 µg/ml.

All yogurt samples were prepared according to the method outlined in the ELISA kit for cheese. Two grams of a representative samples were added to 40 ml of dichloromethane. The mixture was extracted by shaking for 15 min. Suspension was filtered and 10 ml of that was evaporated at 60 °C under weak N₂ gas stream. The oily residue was dissolved in 0.5 ml methanol, 0.5 ml Phosphate Buffer Saline (PBS, pH 7.2 containing of 0.05% Na₂HPO₄, 0.28% Na₂HPO₄ and 0.9% NaCl), and 1 ml heptane. The mixture was centrifuged for 15 min in 2700 xg at 15 °C. The upper layer of heptane was removed and 100 µl of the aliquot were diluted with 400 µl of kit buffer.

For all standards and samples, microtiter plates were inserted into the microwell holder as indicated by manufacturer's instructions. A 100 µl of each standard solution (0, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80 and 250 ng/l) and prepared samples were added separately and mixed gently by manually shaking

the plate and then incubated for 30 min at ambient room temperature in the dark. At the end of incubation step, the liquid in the wells was poured out; then, to remove the remainder of the liquid the microwell holder was tapped upside down on an absorbent paper. Using 250 µl washing buffer, each well was washed twice. Then, 100 µl of the enzyme conjugate (peroxidase conjugated AFM₁) was added to each well and mixed quietly and incubated for 15 min at ambient room temperature in the dark. The wells were then washed three times with 250 µl washing buffer and 100 µl substrate/chromogen were added to each well and incubated for 15 min at ambient room temperature in the dark. The bond enzyme conjugate converted the chromogen to a blue product and 100 µl of the stop solution was added to each well that led to a yellow discoloration of the chromogen. The AFM₁ measurement was performed photometrically at 450 nm (Elx800 series, microplate reader, BioTek, Vermont, USA). The obtained calibration curve was found to be virtually linear in the 10–250 ng/l range.

In order to determine the AFM₁ concentration of yogurt samples in ng/kg, the concentration read from the calibration curve was multiplied by a dilution factor of 5. The detection limit of the method was 5 ng/kg in the yogurt samples.

Validation of the ELISA method was carried out by determination of recoveries and the mean variation coefficient for yogurt spiked with different concentrations of AFM₁ (20, 50 and 200 ng/kg). Spiking was carried out in 3 samples for each of the mentioned levels. Analytical values were not corrected for recovery.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis of results was performed with SPSS (version 16.0) software. The mean AFM₁ concentration in traditional and industrial yogurt was compared by t-test. *P* value of <0.05 was considered as significant.

Results

AFM₁ was detected in 96.25% of the tested yogurt samples by average concentration of 29.07 ng/kg (Table 1 and 2). Four samples (5%) including 1 traditional and 3 industrial samples had higher AFM₁ level than the admissible level (50 ng/kg) established by the Commission of the European Communities, while none of the samples exceeded ISIRI and FDA regulations.

The range of contamination level varied from <5 to 71 ng/kg in industrial yogurt samples and from 6 to 91 ng/kg in traditional yogurt samples. The results demonstrate that mean contamination levels in traditional yogurts was higher than industrial ones (*p*<0.05).

Table 1: Method validation data for AFM₁ determination in yogurt by ELISA

Spiking level (µg/kg)	AFM ₁ found (ng/l)	SD	Recovery (%)	RSD (%)
0.02	0.021	0.002	110	9.1
0.05	0.053	0.005	93	9.2
0.20	0.21	0.015	87	7.4

Table 2: Occurrence of AFM₁ in yogurt samples produced in Iran

Yogurt type	Sample Size	No. of contaminated samples (%)	Mean±SD (ng /kg)	No. of contaminated samples (%)				No. of Exceeded regulation (%)	
				< 5 ng/kg	5-50 ng/kg	50-100 ng/kg	> 100 ng/kg	EU	ISIRI, FDA
Traditional	40	40 (100)	33.6±15.95	0 (0)	37 (92.5)	3 (7.5)	0 (0)	1 (2.5)	0 (0)
Industrial	40	37 (92.5)	24.55±13.65	3 (7.5)	36 (90)	1 (2.5)	0 (0)	3 (7.5)	0 (0)
Total	80	77 (96.25)	29.07±15.43	3 (3.75)	73 (91.25)	4 (5)	0 (0)	4 (5)	0 (0)

Table 3: Occurrence of AFM₁ in yogurt samples reported from some region in the world

Country	Samples	Incidence (%)	Range	Exceeded regulation	References
Italy	120	61	0.001-0.32	0	Galvano et al. (2001)
Portugal	48	4.1	43-45	0	Martins and Martins (2004)
Lebanon	64	32.81	ND*	6.25	El Khoury et al. (2011)
Brazil	30	0	0	0	Sylos et al. (1996)
South Korea	60	50	17-124	ND	Kim et al. (2000)

* ND: Not Determined

Discussion

In this study a total of 80 yogurt samples analyzed for AFM₁ by ELISA. ELISA is a rapid and simple method for determination of AFM₁ in dairy products. Rosi et al. (2007) showed that ELISA method (RIDAScreen AFM₁) have good results in comparison to high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) for determination of AFM₁ in milk. The results of validation study that expressed in Table 1 are comparable to data from the RIDAScreen AFM₁ manual, which gives recovery rate of 102% and a mean variation coefficient of 11% for yogurt. According to Table 3, the incidence of AFM₁ in tested yogurt samples in the present survey was relatively higher than the results obtained from the other regions of the world (El Khoury et al., 2011; Galvano et al., 2001; Kim et al., 2000; Martins and Martins, 2004; Sylos et al., 1996), but not very different from the results obtained from other studies in Iran (Fallah et al., 2011; Tabari et al., 2012). In the previous similar published research conducted in northern Iran, Tabari et al. (2012) reported that all tested yogurt samples in Guilan province were contaminated with AFM₁ in range of 4.2-78.9 ng/kg. Around 13.33 % of the tested samples had con-

tamination levels above the European regulation (50 ng/kg).

Besides the industrial yogurt, production and consumption of traditional yogurt are common in Iran. Industrial yogurts are manufactured in dairy industry but traditional ones are made in ranches or small dairy shops (Fallah et al., 2011) and even in homes. The milk that used for production of traditional yogurt is mainly supplied by traditional dairy farms; in this type of dairy farms crop residues, weeds, wheat and barley stubble are the sources of animal feed (Tajkarime et al., 2008).

Higher level of AFM₁ in traditional yogurt might be due to high level of AFB₁ in feedstuff that had been used in the feeding of dairy cattle in traditional husbandry practices. Another possible reason for higher level of AFM₁ in traditional yogurt could be found in the yogurt manufacturing method. It should be indicated that in traditional yogurt production in Iran, the increasing of solid not fat of base milk are gained only by excessive evaporating of milk; so, this concentration process may led to increasing the level of AFM₁ in final product. Lower level of AFM₁ in industri-

al yogurt may also be associated with a dilution effect of contaminated milk with non-contaminated milk in dairy industry.

The mean concentration of AFM₁ in yogurt samples of this study was relatively lower than the levels of AFM₁ in milk samples that observed in the same area. Fallah (2010b) showed that the mean concentration of AFM₁ in pasteurized and UHT milk in central part of Iran were 52.8 and 46.4 ng/l, respectively. In the mentioned study AFM₁ content of 26.7% and 17.4% of pasteurized and UHT milk showed to exceed EU regulations. Lower level of AFM₁ in yogurt might be attributed to factors such as low pH, formation of organic acids and other fermentation by-products (Govaris et al., 2002). It has been shown that lactic acid bacteria that ferment milk to yogurt are capable to remove AFM₁ from milk. El Khoury et al. (2011) reported that the yogurt bacteria, *L. bulgaricus*, *Streptococcus thermophilus* and a combination of these two bacteria reduced AFM₁ content of milk as 58.5%, 37.7% and 46.7%, respectively, after incubation in 37 °C for 6 h. So, it appears that fermentation process of milk could be a practical approach to reduce the risk of this toxin.

Conclusion

AFM₁ is a serious health threatening component that can be found in milk and dairy products. Our study offers a limited data collected in 2013 from Iran. However, the results showed that AFM₁ contamination of yogurt is relatively high and could be a serious health problem. So, it is essential to have continues monitoring program over milk and dairy products by governmental food inspection agencies. Reducing the levels of AFB₁ in animal feedstuffs by improved processing and storage practices can be initial approach to deal with this problem.

Conflicts of interest

None declared.

Acknowledgment

We thank the authorities of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, Yazd, Iran for financial assistance.

References

- Bakirci I. (2001). A study on the occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ in milk and milk products produced in Van province of Turkey. *Food Control*. 12: 47-51.
- Commission Regulation (EC). (2006). Setting maximum levels for certain contaminants in foodstuffs. No. 1881/2006. *Official Journal of The European Union*. 364: 5-24.
- Creppy E.E. (2002). Update of survey, regulation and toxic effects of mycotoxins in Europe. *Toxicology Letters*. 127: 19-28.
- El Khoury A., Atoui A., Yagh J. (2011). Analysis of aflatoxin M₁ in milk and yogurt and AFM₁ reduction by lactic acid bacteria used in Lebanese industry. *Food Control*. 22: 1695-1699.
- Fallah A.A. (2010a). Aflatoxin M₁ contamination in dairy products marketed in Iran during winter and summer. *Food Control*. 21: 1478-1481.
- Fallah A.A. (2010b). Assessment of aflatoxin M₁ contamination in pasteurized and UHT milk marketed in central part of Iran. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 48: 988-991.
- Fallah A., Rahnema M., Jafari T., Saei-Dehkordi S. (2011). Seasonal variation of aflatoxin M₁ contamination in industrial and traditional Iranian dairy products. *Food Control*. 22: 1653-1656.
- Food and Drug Administration (FDA). (1996). Whole milk low fat, skim milk-aflatoxin M₁. (CPG 7106.10), Washington D.C. p: 219.
- Galvano F., Galofaro V., Ritieni A., Bognanno M., De Angelis A., Galvano G. (2001). Survey of the occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ in dairy products marketed in Italy: second year of observation. *Food Additives and Contaminant*. 18: 644-646.
- Govaris A., Roussi V., Koidis P.A., Botsoglou N.A. (2002). Distribution and stability of aflatoxin M₁ during production and storage of yogurt. *Food Additives and Contaminant*. 19: 1043-1050.
- Iha M.H., Barbosa C.B., Okada I.A., Trucksess M.W. (2013). Aflatoxin M₁ in milk and distribution and stability of aflatoxin M₁ during production and storage of yogurt and cheese. *Food Control*. 29: 1-6.
- Institute of Standards and Industrial Research of Iran (ISIRI). (2010). Food and feed mycotoxins-Maximum tolerated level. National standard No. 5925. URL: <http://www.isiri.org/portal/files/std/5925.pdf>. Accessed 2 September 2014.
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). (2002). IARC monograph on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans, some traditional herbal medicines, some mycotoxins, naphthalene. Lyon, France. World Health Organization. p: 171
- Khodadadi M., Khosravi R., Allahresani A., Khaksar Y., Rafati L., Barikbin B. (2014). Occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ in pasteurized and traditional cheese marketed in southern Khorasan, Iran. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 1: 77-80.
- Kim E.K., Shon D.H., Ryu D., Park J.W., Hwang H.J., Kim Y.B. (2000). Occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ in Korean dairy products determined by ELISA and HPLC. *Food Additives and Contaminant*. 17: 59-64.
- Martins L.M., Martins H.M. (2004). Aflatoxin M₁ in yogurts in Portugal. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 91: 315-317.
- Montaseri H., Arjmandtalab S., Dehghanzadeh G., Karami S., Razmjoo M.M., Sayadi M., Oryan A. (2014). Effect of production and storage of probiotic yogurt on aflatoxin M₁ residue. *Journal Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 1: 7-14.
- Murphy P.A., Hendrich S., Landgren C., Bryant C.M. (2006). Food mycotoxins: an update. *Journal of Food Science*. 71: 51-65.
- Neal G.E., Eaton D.L., Judah D.J., Verma A. (1998). Metabolism and toxicity of aflatoxins M₁ and B₁ in human-derived in vitro system. *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*. 151: 152-158.
- Pitt J.I. (2000). Toxigenic fungi: which are important? *Medical Mycology*. 38: 17-22.
- Prandini A., Tansini G., Sigolo S., Filippi L., Laporta M., Piva G. (2009). On the occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ in milk and dairy products. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. 47: 984-991.
- Rosi P., Borsari A., Lasi G., Lodi S., Galanti A., Fava A., Girotti S., Ferri E. (2007). Aflatoxin M₁ in milk: reliability of the immunoenzymatic assay. *International Dairy Journal*. 17: 429-435.
- Sylos C.M., Rodriguez-Amaya D.B., Carvalho P.R.N. (1996). Occurrence of aflatoxin M₁ in milk and dairy products industrialized in Campinas, Brazil. *Food Additives and Contaminant*. 13: 169-172.
- Tabari M., Tabari K., Tabari O. (2012). Aflatoxin M₁ determination in yogurt produced in Guilan province of Iran using immunoaffinity column and high-performance liquid chromatography. *Toxicology and Industrial Health*. 29: 72-76.
- Tajkarimi M., Aliabadi-Sh F., Salah Nejad A., Poursoltani H., Motallebi A.A., Mahdavi H. (2008). Aflatoxin M₁ contamination in winter and summer milk in 14 states in Iran. *Food Control*. 19: 1033-1036.