

Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control 7 (2020) 188-195

# Antibiotic Resistance Pattern and Detection of *mec*A Gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* Isolated from Iranian Hamburger Samples

N. Momtaz Bokharaei<sup>1</sup>, M.M. Soltan Dallal<sup>1,2,3\*</sup><sup>(D)</sup>, M.R. Pourmand<sup>3</sup>, Z. Rajabi<sup>2</sup>

1. Division of Food Microbiology, Department of Pathobiology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran

2. Food Microbiology Research Centre, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

3. Division of Microbiology, Department of Pathobiology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Science, Tehran, Iran

# HIGHLIGHTS

- Prevalence of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated in handmade hamburgers was significantly higher than packaged ones.
- The highest antibiotic resistance was observed for penicillin G followed by oxacillin and tetracycline.
- Six S. aureus isolates which were evaluated for methicillin-resistance, contained the mecA gene.

Article type Original article

Keywords

Drug Resistance, Microbial Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Meat Products Iran

Article history Received: 19 Oct 2019 Revised: 7 Apr 2020 Accepted: 20 May 2020

Acronyms and abbreviations MIC=Minimum Inhibitory Concentration MRSA=Methicillin-Resistant Staphylococcus aureus PCR=Polymerase Chain Reaction

# ABSTRACT

**Background:** Among the bacteria that cause food poisoning, *Staphylococcus aureus* is one of the most common causes of food poisoning worldwide. The aim of this study was to investigate the presence of *S. aureus* strains in Iranian hamburgers, analysis of their antibiotic resistance pattern, and molecular detection of *mec*A gene in isolated strains.

**Methods:** A total of 100 Iranian handmade (traditional) and packaged (factory-made) hamburger samples were investigated for the existence of *S. aureus*. The pattern of antibiotic resistance and the presence of *mecA* genes were investigated by disk diffusion and molecular methods, respectively. Data were statistically analyzed by SPSS software v. 24. **Results:** The prevalence of *S. aureus* isolated in handmade hamburgers was significantly (p=0.008) higher than packaged ones. Most of 39 isolated *S. aureus* strains were susceptible to ciprofloxacin (31 isolate), chloramphenicol (27 isolate), and trime-thoprim/sulfamethoxazole (37 isolate). The highest antibiotic resistance was observed for penicillin G followed by oxacillin and tetracycline. All isolates were found susceptible to vancomycin and gentamicin. Six *S. aureus* isolates which were evaluated for methicillin-resistance, contained the *mecA* gene.

**Conclusion:** The high presence of the *S. aureus* in Iranian hamburgers and the remarkable antibiotic resistance emphasize the need for policies which enforce hygienic practices within the food industry and fast food outlets.

© 2020, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

# Introduction

*Staphylococcus aureus* is considered as one of the most important food-borne pathogens causing food poisoning (Gundogan et al., 2005). The bacterium is mainly isolated from foods of animal origin such as meat, dairy products,

and seafood (Soltan-Dallal et al., 2010a, 2015). Since the development of the Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) in 1961, the currency of nosocomial infections and the deaths associated with its bacteria is

\* Corresponding author (M.M. Soltan Dallal) E-mail: soltanda@sina.tums.ac.ir

**To cite:** Momtaz Bokharaei N., Soltan Dallal M.M., Pourmand M.R., Rajabi Z. (2020). Antibiotic resistance pattern and detection of *mec* A gene in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from Iranian hamburger samples. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 7: 188-195.

ORCID ID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3421-3974

increasing (Deng et al., 2015). Based on Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI, 2015) definition, MRSA are strains that show a Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) of  $\geq 4 \ \mu g/ml$  for oxacillin (Raygada and Levine, 2009). Most of the MRSA strains showed resistance or decreased sensitivity to other antibiotic agents which finally leads to spread of these pathogens. Methicillin resistance mainly is attributed to mecA gene. This gene encodes Penicillin-Binding Protein 2a (PBP2a) causing decreased binding affinity for the β-lactam antibiotics (Berger-Bächi, 1999). Despite the presence of the mecA gene in all MRSA strains, they may show different levels of resistance. Furthermore, other genetic elements have been postulated to be involved in the mechanism of resistance (Matsuhashi et al., 1986). Resistance to cefoxitin is found to be a surrogate marker of MRSA with high accuracy (Fernandes et al., 2005).

The consumption of foodstuffs with animal origin including meat products (e.g. hamburger) has increased remarkably all over the world. Therefore, contamination of hamburgers with *S. aureus* poses a great public health threat (Lee et al., 2005). Regular monitoring and analysis of the antibiotic resistance patterns of *S. aureus* strains isolated from different origins including meat products is crucial for final control of resistant strains.

Currently, antibiotic susceptibility testing by disk diffusion and antibiotic dilution methods are employed for identification of antibiotic resistance for staphylococci (Al-Zu'bi et al., 2004). However, there are some limitations with these methods including low discrimination rate and high dependence on growth conditions. Nowadays, molecular DNA-based methods such as Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR) have been established as a useful alternative to traditional detection methods. Moreover, the *mecA* gene, the structural determinant encoding PBP2a, is considered as a useful molecular marker of putative methicillin resistance in *S. aureus* (Elhassan et al., 2015; Soltan-Dallal et al., 2010b).

The aim of present study was to investigate the presence of *S. aureus* strains in Iranian hamburger samples, analysis of their antibiotic resistance pattern, and molecular detection of *mecA* gene in isolated strains using PCR technique.

# Materials and methods

#### Sample collection

A total of 100 hamburger samples were randomly purchased from small grocery, supermarkets, and sandwich shops in Tehran City, Iran. The samples consisted of 25 handmade (traditional) and 75 packaged (factory-made) hamburgers containing 30, 60, and 80% meat ingredient (n=25 for each type). The samples were placed in sterilized jars and cooling ice box (4 °C) and shipped immediately to the laboratory under sterile conditions. Samples of hamburger were prepared in accordance with the International Organization for Standardization (ISO, 2003) under laboratory conditions.

#### Bacterial isolation and identification

Each sample (1 g) was weighed into sterile container diluted with 9 ml Giolitti-Cantoni broth (Merck, Germany) and homogenized in the container for 2 min. Ten ml of each sample incubated aerobically at 37 °C for 24-48 h and spread-plated on Baird-Parker agar (BP; Merck, Germany) supplemented with egg yolk tellurite emulsion (50 ml/l) for incubation at 37 °C for 24 h. The biochemical and cultural characters of colonies and cell morphology were investigated. Colonies of S. aureus appear dark gray to black, convex and shiny due to tellurite reduction. These colonies are normally surrounded by clear zones, a result of lecithinase activity. Typical colonies of S. aureus were submitted for the following tests: coagulase, catalase, DNase, acetoin production, and mannitol fermentation according to guidelines (Quinn et al., 2011). The reference strain used for microbiological analysis was S. aureus ATCC 25923.

#### Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Antibiotic susceptibility testing of S. aureus isolates was performed using the standard disk diffusion method on Mueller Hinton agar (Merck, Germany), as recommended by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute guidelines (CLSI, 2015) using S. aureus ATCC 25923 as a control strain. Briefly, Mueller Hinton agar media were inoculated with a suspension (equivalent to a 0.5 McFarland standard) of each S. aureus isolates. Disks (6 mm in diameter; Mast group LTD, Merseyside, UK) containing penicillin G (10 IU), ciprofloxacin (5 µg), erythromycin (15  $\mu$ g), chloramphenicol (30  $\mu$ g), clindamycin (2  $\mu$ g), trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (25 µg), gentamicin (10  $\mu$ g), oxacillin (1  $\mu$ g), and tetracycline (30  $\mu$ g) were placed on media. A sterile disk was used for each test as a negative control indicator. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 16 to 18 h; then the zones of growth inhibition were evaluated according to CLSI guidelines. Screening for MRSA strains and phenotype verification of mecA gene presence was performed by 30-µg cefoxitin disk diffusion testing following the CLSI guidelines (CLSI, 2015). S. aureus strain COL (MRSA) used as control in this test. Furthermore, MICs were determined for all 39 isolates using Etest for vancomycin according to guidelines of CLSI (2015). The results of antibiotic susceptibility testing were classified into three groups; namely resistant, intermediate-resistant, and susceptible.

# PCR detection of mecA gene

All MRSA strains were investigated for the presence of *mecA* gene PCR as a gold standard assay according to Sakoulas et al. (2001). DNA extraction of MRSA strains isolated from Iranian hamburger samples was carried out using TENT (Tris-EDTANaCl-TritonX100) methods according to the instructions (Unno et al., 2015). Amplification of the methicillin resistance gene *mecA* was performed using specific primer pair of *mecA*-F 5'-AAAATCGATGGTAAAGGTTGGC-3' and *mecA*-R 5'AGTTCTGCAGTACCGGATTTGC-3' based on Shahraz et al. (2012) synthesized by Pishgam Co. (Tehran, Iran).

PCR amplification was carried out using a Primus 96 Plus thermal cycler (Peqlab Biotechnologie GmbH, Erlangen, Germany). The reaction solution was prepared by 12.5 µl Master mix (Pishgam Biotech, Iran) and 10.1 µl distilled water. Then 2 µl template DNA was added separately to each reaction tube with a final volume of 25 µl/reaction. The thermal steps were as follows: initial 5 min for denaturation step at 95 °C for one cycle followed by 35 cycles of denaturation in 30 s at 95 °C, annealing in 30 s at 60 °C, and extension in 40 s at 72 °C. The final extension was done in 5 min at 72 °C. PCR product was assessed using 1% agarose gel electrophoresis alongside by a 100 bp DNA ladder. For all reactions, S. aureus ATCC 49476 (mecA positive) and ddH<sub>2</sub>O were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. The expected amplicon was a fragment with 533 bp in length.

#### Sequence analysis

PCR products were purified using a QIAquick<sup>®</sup> PCR Purification kit (Qiagen, Germany) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Genome sequencing was performed for all confirmed MRSA isolates. Genomic sequencing was performed by Takapozist Co. (Iran) and after loading the genomic sequence in BLASTn program (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/BLAST/) at National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI), it was compared with similar genomic sequences in GenBank database.

#### Statistical analysis

Data of this research were statistically analyzed by SPSS software version 24 (SPSS, Inc., Chicago, USA) using the analysis of variance and the Chi-square tests. Differences between the mean values were significant when p < 0.05.

# Results

#### Isolation of S. aureus from hamburger samples

During the study, 39 *S. aureus* isolates were detected from hamburger samples (Table 1). The amount of *S. aureus* isolates was much higher than handmade burger samples (64%). As a result, there was a significant difference (p=0.008) in the frequency of *S. aureus* isolates between handmade and packaged hamburgers. Besides, burgers with different percentages of meat were significantly different in terms of *S. aureus* contamination (p=0.037). In contrast, there was no association between brand marks of hamburgers and contamination with *S. aureus* (p=0.076).

#### Antibiotic resistance of S. aureus isolates

All the isolates were tested against different antibiotics. The antibiotic resistance pattern of the isolates is shown in Table 2. Among 39 *S. aureus* isolates, most of strains were susceptible to ciprofloxacin (31 isolate), chloramphenicol (27 isolate), and trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole (37 isolate). The highest resistance was observed for penicillin G followed by oxacillin. No vancomycin or gentamicin resistances were found among these 39 isolates. Only 6 isolates (15.38%) were resistant to cefoxitin. Resistance to cefoxitin is considered as a marker of MRSA stains. The MRSA strains were isolated equally from packaged (factory-made) and handmade (traditional) hamburgers.

#### Susceptibility to vancomycin

The results obtained by Etest showed that all the *S. aureus* isolates were susceptible to vancomycin with MICs varying from 0. 5 to 2 µg/ml (Figure 1). According to CLSI (2015) guidelines, MIC concentrations  $2\leq$ , 4-8, and  $\geq$ 16 µg/ml were considered as susceptible, intermediate-resistance and resistant, respectively illustrated in Figure 1).

# MRSA analysis of S. aureus isolates using PCR detection of mecA gene

In the present study, molecular detection of *mec*A gene, as a specific gene target of MRSA, was performed for all 39 *S. aureus* strains isolated from hamburger samples using PCR method. The result of PCR assay showed the band with 533 bp size (Figure 2) in 6 (15.38%) isolates. It confirms the presence of the *mec*A gene in the methicillin-resistant isolates.

[Downloaded from jfqhc.ssu.ac.ir on 2025-07-05]

Table 1: Frequency of Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from packaged and handmade hamburgers

Samples type	Sample size	No. (%) of <i>S. aureus</i> strains 16 (64) 7 (28) 11 (44)	
Handmade	25		
Packaged (30% meat)	25 25		
Packaged (60% meat)			
Packaged (80% meat)	% meat) 25 5 (20)		
Total	100	39 (39)	

Table 2: Antibiotic resistance of Staphylococcus aureus isolates (n=39) obtained from Iranian hamburger samples

Antibiotic	Resistant No (%)	Intermediate No (%)	Susceptible No (%)
Penicillin	28 (71.92)	0 (0.00)	11 (28.20)
Ciprofloxacin	2 (5.12)	6 (15.38)	31 (97.48)
Erythromycin	11 (28.20)	17 (43.58)	11 (28.20)
Clindamycin	11 (28.20)	20 (51.28)	8 (20.51)
Chloramphenicol	1 (2.56)	11 (28.20)	27 (69.23)
Cefoxitin (as marker of MRSA)	6 (15.38)	0 (0.00)	33 (84.61)
Trimethoprim/sulfamethoxazole	1 (2.56)	1 (2.56)	37 (94.87)
Vancomycin	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	39 (100)
Tetracycline	15 (38.46)	4 (10.25)	20 (51.28)
Gentamicin	0 (0.00)	0 (0.00)	39 (100)
Oxacillin	23 (58.97)	0 (0.00)	16 (41.02)





Figure 1: Staphylococcus aureus isolates with different Minimum Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) ranges for vancomycin



**Figure 2:** Agarose gel electrophoresis analysis of PCR amplification products of *mecA* gene, extracted from *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from packaged and handmade hamburger samples. Lane M: 100 bp DNA ladder, lane N: negative control, lane P: positive control (*S. aureus* strain COL; 533 bp), Lane 1-6: positive isolates for *mecA* gene

#### Discussion

S. aureus is involved in a nosocomial infection and food-borne illness. Human acts as a carrier and may contribute to the spread of this bacteria (Aycicek et al., 2005; Shahraz et al., 2012; Shawish and Al-Humam, 2016; Soltan-Dallal et al., 2016). S. aureus is considered as a main cause of food poisoning following ingestion of staphylococcal enterotoxins (Hennekinne et al., 2012; Sharifi-Yazdi et al., 2016). The food contamination with S. aureus commonly occurs by workers who have skin lesions containing S. aureus, or by sneezing or coughing (Gundogan et al., 2005). In the present study, high percentage of S. aureus contamination (39%) was found in handmade and packaged hamburgers marketed in Iran. Similar studies in Turkey showed S. aureus contamination in 53% of meat and chicken (Gundogan et al., 2005) and 61% of raw milk, pasteurized milk, and ice cream samples (Gundogan et al., 2006). Another study in Brazil revealed that 68% of raw hamburgers and 14% of sandwiches are contaminated with S. aureus (Contreras et al., 2015). Similarly, Shahraz et al. (2012) reported 25% contamination with S. aureus in hamburgers in Tehran, Iran. High level of contamination with S. aureus in hamburger samples poses a serious health hazard to the community and emphasizes requirement for the more efficient hygiene practice to decrease the level of contamination in meat products.

Consumption of ready-to-cook meat products such as hamburger has been dramatically increased all over the world. It is delicious and enjoyable with an easy consumption method (Nejad et al., 2014). Approximately, five billion hamburgers are consumed by Americans each year. Lipid oxidation and microbial contamination during the maintenance period are considered as two important factors, affecting the quality of this food material (Prayson et al., 2008). Foods contaminated with S. aureus after preparation are considered a significant food threat. Because of the processing manner, there are no other microbes in them. A wide variety of factors can affect the hygiene of hamburgers, including hamburger composition (protein, water, lipids and etc.), storage condition, the age and physiological phase of bacterium, time and temperature of freezing period, cooking process, and handling after cooking (Shahraz et al., 2012). In present study handmade hamburgers showed higher contamination which emphasizes that human involvement in preparation and processing may be an important risk factor. Packaged hamburgers are freezed shortly after their processing during storing and distribution until they are shipping for customer or prepared for serving. During freezing, cold shock gradually decreases bacterial population in hamburger. Nowadays, handmade unpacked hamburgers are sold in some food stores. There is no clear history regarding the quality of their processing which makes them as a health hazard to the community. According to Iranian National Standard for meat products, Number 2304, hamburgers are classified in three groups based on red meat content as follows: 30%, 60-74%, and 75-95%. A hamburger with less than 60% red meat contains vegetable protein mainly soy protein, while others are not permitted (INSO, 2016). Furthermore, we found that hamburgers with 60% meat were associated with higher level of contamination. Hamburgers with 60% meat contain more non-meat ingredients such as fillers and binders which in combination with meat made an ideal environment for bacterial growth. Some common filler ingredients used in hamburgers are egg, whey powder, and powdered milk. In contrast, hamburgers with 80% meat contain minimum amount of filler and binding ingredients. Furthermore, 30% hamburgers usually use plant originating proteins such as soybean and gluten which are not suitable for bacterial growth (INSO, 2016).

Nowadays, antibiotic resistant S. aureus strains are considered as a major healthcare problem as they cause numerous nosocomial and community-acquired pathological infections. There are some reports of resistant S. aureus transmission by contaminated milk, meat, and retail chicken products (Gündoğan et al., 2006). In the present study, 71% and 58% of S. aureus strains obtained from hamburgers were resistant to penicillin G and oxacillin, respectively. Penicillin and oxacillin are of the commonly used antibiotics for treatment of infections in humans and animals. Overuse of these antibiotics leads to occurrence of resistance. Furthermore, administration of antibiotics to food-producing animals for therapeutic purposes, use of antibiotics with poor activity or inappropriate administering of dosage level are main factors contributing to the antibiotic resistant (Al-Zu'bi et al., 2004). Previous studies showed different rate of resistance to antibiotics. A study on S. aureus strains isolated from hamburgers distributed in Tehran, Iran revealed that resistance to methicillin was 89% (Shahraz et al., 2012). Acco et al. (2003) showed that 70% of strains of S. aureus isolated from Brazilian food handlers were resistant to penicillin. In several countries, however, large-scale studies revealed that resistance of the microorganism to penicillin is nearly 60% (Contreras et al., 2015). Antibiotic resistance may limit our therapeutic options and so, restrictive policies are needed to prevent further health problems.

The presence of high levels of contamination in hamburgers as well as their high levels of resistance to antibacterial agents shows that the existence of scientific and specific guidelines to prevent increased contamination and resistance is essential to maintain hygiene at different levels from production to consumption. Establishing a microbial resistance monitoring system is one of the measures that must be taken in every country. If disease monitoring is usually available, treatment failure is identified in the early stages, in which case a decision to change the medication. Although the strains were resistant to different antibiotics, they were completely susceptible to vancomycin and gentamicin. Vancomycin is considered as a drug of choice for MRSA treatment in humans. Clinicians should carefully reconsider the use of vancomycin-based combination therapies for the treatment of infection due to methicillin-resistant *S. aureus* (Deresinski, 2009).

Following elevated incidence and spread of MRSA, development of fast and sensitive laboratory methods is crucial for the immediate identification of multipleantibiotic-resistant MRSA. Previously, DNA-based detection of antibiotic resistance genes was performed by PCR methods for the gene targets of *mecA* (Shahraz et al., 2012). Finding of *mecA* gene is regarded as a major evidence for the detection of MRSA isolates as observed in present study. Microbiological susceptibility testing was performed using cefoxitin for phenotypic identification of MRSA strains.

The comparison between standard disk diffusion, as a phenotypic method, and PCR, as a genotypic method, were previously discussed in some other studies (Al-Zu'bi et al., 2004; Anand et al., 2009; Baddour et al., 2007; Boşgelmez-Tinaz et al., 2006; Mathews et al., 2010; Mimica et al., 2007; Shahraz et al., 2012; Soltan-Dallal et al., 2010b; Swenson et al., 2005; Velasco et al., 2005).

# Conclusion

The high presence of the *S. aureus* in Iranian hamburgers and the remarkable antibiotic resistance emphasize the need for policies which enforce hygienic practices within the food industry and fast food outlets. Although all *S. aureus* strains were susceptible to vancomycin and gentamicin, the *S. aureus* strains have shown resistance to one or more antibiotics causing important therapeutic implications in the future. More restrictive policies on the use of antibiotics in animals, Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP), and Hazard Analysis Critical Control Point (HACCP) systems in food industries are recommended to overcome current problems.

### Author contributions

N.M.B. and M.M.S.D. designed the study; N.M.B. and Z.R. conducted the experiments; M.M.S.D. and M.R.P. analyzed the data; N.M.B. wrote the manuscript. All authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper was a part of a research project (research contract No. 32127) approved by the Food Microbiology Research Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. We thank financial support of Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran.

#### References

- Acco M., Ferreira F.S., Henriques J.A.P., Tondo E.C. (2003). Identification of multiple strains of *Staphylococcus aureus* colonizing nasal mucosa of food handlers. *Food Microbiology*. 20: 489-493. [DOI: 10.1016/S0740-0020(03)00049-2]
- Al-Zu'bi E., Bdour S., Shehabi A.A. (2004). Antibiotic resistance patterns of mecA-positive Staphylococcus aureus isolates from clinical specimens and nasal carriage. *Microbial Drug Resistance*. 10: 321-324. [DOI: 10.1089/mdr.2004.10.321]
- Anand K.B., Agrawal P., Kumar S., Kapila K. (2009). Comparison of cefoxitin disc diffusion test, oxacillin screen agar, and PCR for mecA gene for detection of MRSA. *Indian Journal of Medical Microbiology*. 27: 27-29.
- Aycicek H., Cakiroglu S., Stevenson T.H. (2005). Incidence of *Staphylococcus aureus* in ready-to-eat meals from military cafeterias in Ankara, Turkey. *Food Control.* 16: 531-534. [DOI: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2004.04.005]
- Baddour M.M., AbuElKheir M.M., Fatani A.J. (2007). Comparison of *mecA* polymerase chain reaction with phenotypic methods for the detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Current Microbiology*. 55: 473-479. [DOI: 10.1007/ s00284-007-9015-6]
- Berger-Bächi B. (1999). Genetic basis of methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences. 56: 764-770. [DOI: 10.1007/s000180050023]
- Boşgelmez-Tınaz G., Ulusoy S., Arıdoğan B., Coşkun-Arı F. (2006). Evaluation of different methods to detect oxacillinresistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* and their clinical laboratory utility. *European Journal of Clinical Microbiology* and Infectious Diseases. 25: 410-412. [DOI: 10.1007/s10096-006-0153-8]
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). (2015). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing; twenty-fifth informational supplement. CLSI document M100-S25. Wayne, PA.
- Contreras C.P.Á., da Silva L.N.N., Ferreira D.C.G., dos Santos Ferreira J., de Castro Almeida R.C. (2015). Prevalence of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in raw hamburgers and ready-to-eat sandwiches commercialized in supermarkets and fast food outlets in Brazil. *Food and Nutrition Sciences*. 6: 1324-1331. [DOI: 10.4236/fns.2015.614138]
- Deng Y., Liu J., Peters B.M., Chen L., Miao J., Li B., Li L., Chen D., Yu G., Xu Z., Shirtliff M.E. (2015). Antimicrobial resistance investigation on *Staphylococcus* strains in a local hospital in Guangzhou, China, 2001-2010. *Microbial Drug Resistance*. 21: 102-104. [DOI: 10.1089/mdr.2014.0117]
- Deresinski S. (2009). Vancomycin in combination with other antibiotics for the treatment of serious methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections. *Clinical Infectious Diseases*. 49: 1072-1079. [DOI: 10.1086/605572]
- Elhassan M.M., Ozbak H.A., Hemeg H.A., Elmekki M.A., Ahmed L.M. (2015). Absence of the *mecA* gene in methicillinresistant *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from different clinical

specimens in Shendi city, Sudan. BioMed Research International. [DOI:10.1155/2015/895860]

- Fernandes C.J., Fernandes L.A., Collignon P. (2005). Cefoxitin resistance as a surrogate marker for the detection of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 55: 506-510. [DOI: 10.1093/jac/ dki052]
- Gundogan N., Citak S., Turan E. (2006). Slime production, DNase activity and antibiotic resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from raw milk, pasteurised milk and ice cream samples. *Food Control.* 17: 389-392. [DOI:10.1016/j. foodcont.2005.01.006]
- Gundogan N., Citak S., Yucel N., Devren A. (2005). A note on the incidence and antibiotic-resistance of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from meat and chicken samples. *Meat Science*. 69: 807-810. [DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2004.10.011]
- Hennekinne J.A., De Buyser M.L., Dragacci S. (2012). Staphylococcus aureus and its food poisoning toxins: characterization and outbreak investigation. FEMS Microbiology Reviews. 36: 815-836. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00311.x]
- Iranian National Standardization Organization (INSO). (2016). Raw frozen hamburger-Specifications and test methods. No. 2304.
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO). (2003). Microbiology of food and animal feeding stuffs-Horizontal method for the enumeration of coagulase-positive staphylococci. *Staphylococcus aureus* and other species. Part 3: Detection and MPN technique for low numbers. No. 6888-3
- Lee J.W., Park K.S., Kim J.G., Oh S.H., Lee Y.S., Kim J.H., Byun M.W. (2005). Combined effects of gamma irradiation and rosemary extract on the shelf-life of a ready-to-eat hamburger steak. *Radiation Physics and Chemistry*. 72: 49-56. [DOI: 10.1016/j.radphyschem.2004.01.003]
- Mathews A.A., Thomas M., Appalaraju B., Jayalakshmi J. (2010). Evaluation and comparison of tests to detect methicillinresistant S. aureus. Indian Journal of Pathology and Microbiology. 53: 79-82. [DOI: 10.4103/0377-4929.59189]
- Matsuhashi M., Song M.D., Ishino F., Wachi M., Doi M., Inoue M., Ubukata K., Yamashita N., Konno M. (1986). Molecular cloning of the gene of a penicillin-binding protein supposed to cause high resistance to β-lactam antibiotics in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Bacteriology*. 167: 975-980. [DOI: 10. 1128/jb.167.3.975-980.1986]
- Mimica M.J., Berezin E.N., Carvalho R.L.B., Mimica I.M., Mimica L.M.J., Sáfadi M.A.P., Schneider E., Caiaffa-Filho H.H. (2007). Detection of methicillin resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from pediatric patients: is the cefoxitin disk diffusion test accurate enough? *The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 11: 415-417. [DOI: 10.1590/S1413-86702007000400009]
- Nejad A.S.M., Shabani S., Bayat M., Hosseini S.E. (2014). Antibacterial effect of garlic aqueous extract on *Staphylococcus aureus* in hamburger. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*. 7: e13134. [DOI: 10.5812/jjm.13134]
- Prayson B., McMahon J.T., Prayson R.A. (2008). Fast food hamburgers: what are we really eating? *Annals of Diagnostic Pathology*. 12: 406-409. [DOI: 10.1016/j.anndiagpath.2008. 06.002]
- Quinn P. J., Markey B. K., Leonard F.C., FitzPatrick E., Fanning S., Hartigan P. (2011). Veterinary microbiology and microbial disease. 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. John Wiley & Sons, UK.
- Raygada J.L., Levine D.P. (2009). Methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus: a growing risk in the hospital and in the community. American Health and Drug Benefits. 2: 86-95.
- Sakoulas G., Gold H.S., Venkataraman L., Degirolami P.C., Eliopoulos G.M., Qian Q. (2001). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: comparison of susceptibility testing methods and analysis of mecA-positive susceptible strains. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 39: 3946-3951. [DOI: 10.1128/JCM.39.11.3946-3951.2001]
- Shahraz F., Dadkhah H., Khaksar R., Mahmoudzadeh M., Hosseini H., Kamran M., Bourke P. (2012). Analysis of antibiotic resistance patterns and detection of *mecA* gene in

DOI: 10.18502/jfqhc.7.4.4847

*Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from packaged hamburger. *Meat Science*. 90: 759-763. [DOI: 10.1016/j.meatsci.2011.11. 009]

- Sharifi-Yazdi M.K., Mazaheri Nezhad Fard R., Pourmand M.R., Rajabi Z., Soltan-Dallal M.M. (2016). SEA, SEB and TSST-1 Toxin gene prevalence in *Staphylococcus aureus* isolated from fish. *Annals of Food Processing and Preservation*. 1: 1007.
- Shawish R.R., Al-Humam N.A. (2016). Contamination of beef products with staphylococcal classical enterotoxins in Egypt and Saudi Arabia. *GMS Hygiene and Infection Control*. 11: 1-6. [DOI: 10.3205/dgkh000268]
- Soltan-Dallal M.M., Foroushani A.R., Sharifi-Yazdi S., Sharifi-Yazdi M.K., Arfatahery N. (2015). Prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus in shrimps in Tehran during 2013. Journal of Medical Bacteriology. 4: 42-46.
- Soltan-Dallal M.M., Khoramizadeh M.R., Agha Amiri S., Saboor Yaraghi A.A., Mazaheri Nezhad Fard R. (2016). Coagulase gene polymorphism of *Staphylococcus aureus* isolates: a study on dairy food products and other foods in Tehran, Iran. *Food Science and Human Wellness*. 5: 186-190. [DOI: 10.1016/j. fshw.2016.09.004]
- Soltan-Dallal M.M., Salehipour Z., Eshraghi S., Mehrabadi J. F., Bakhtiari R. (2010a). Occurrence and molecular characterization of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains isolated from meat and dairy products by PCR-RFLP. *Annals of*

Microbiology. 60: 189-196. [DOI: 10.1007/s13213-010-0025-4]

- Soltan-Dallal M.M., Salehipour Z., Mehrabadi J.F. (2010b). Molecular epidemiology of *Staphylococcus aureus* in food samples based on the protein A gene polymorphic region DNA sequence. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*. 56. [DOI: 10. 1139/w09-111]
- Swenson J.M., Tenover F.C., Cefoxitin Disk Study Group. (2005). Results of disk diffusion testing with cefoxitin correlate with presence of *mecA* in *Staphylococcus* spp. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*. 43: 3818-3823. [DOI: 10.1128/JCM.43.8.3818-3823.2005]
- Unno H., Inada M., Nakamura A., Hashimoto M., Ito K., Hashimoto K., Nikaido M., Hayashi T., Hata E., Katsuda K., Kiku Y., Tagawa Y., et al. (2015). Improved rapid and efficient method for *Staphylococcus aureus* DNA extraction from milk for identification of mastitis pathogens. *The Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*. 77: 1007-1009. [DOI: 10.1292/jvms.14-0159]
- Velasco D, del Mar Tomas M., Cartelle M., Beceiro A., Perez A., Molina F., Moure R., Villanueva R., Bou G. (2005). Evaluation of different methods for detecting methicillin (oxacillin) resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*. 55: 379-382. [DOI: 10.1093/jac/ dki017]