



Benefits and Risks of Aquaculture Foods in Primary Health Care: A Mini-Review

A.M. Tiamiyu *✉ , I.A. Adesina

Department of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Science, University of Medical Sciences, Ondo City, Ondo State, Nigeria

HIGHLIGHTS

- Benefits and risks of aquaculture are reviewed, highlighting necessity of attention to Primary Health Care principles.
- Proper production, transportation, and storage of aquaculture products should be applied.
- Ecological and environmental factors must also be considered to improve aquaculture safety.

Article type

Review article

Keywords

Aquaculture
Fish Products
Primary Health Care
Food Security
Foodborne Diseases
Food Safety

Article history

Received: 7 Sep 2020
Revised: 25 Dec 2020
Accepted: 11 Jan 2021

Acronyms and abbreviations

PHC=Primary Health Care

ABSTRACT

Primary Health Care (PHC) is expected to serve as a basis for the country's health sector, of which it is the primary responsibility and priority, as well as the community's overall collective and economic prosperity. Promotion of food supply and proper nutrition are among eight elements of PHC. Protein deficiency is one of the most important health concerns in some parts of the world. A huge numbers of malnourished or hungry people have been reported particularly in the less developed countries. Advantages of aquaculture in nutrition are varied. However, there are some zoonotic microbial illnesses occurred due to consumption of infected seafood. Some of these challenges will be corrected by good aquaculture practices. With the right reforms in the aquaculture industry, progress can be made toward solving some of the challenges facing PHC delivery. In this mini-review, the benefits and risks of aquaculture foods in PHC are briefly discussed.

© 2021, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Introduction

Primary Health Care (PHC) is described as “important health care centered on hands-on, scientifically, comprehensive and publicly acceptable approaches and expertise, made universally available to people in the community through their full involvement and at a price that the communal can afford to sustain at every phase of development in the spirit of independence and self-determination” (WHO, 1978).

It should be noted that PHC is expected to serve as a basis for the country's health sector (Taylor et al., 2020). Since then, PHC ideology has been closely advocated in

human development, such as collective fairness, justice, human civil rights, right to services, and emphasizing the value of the most vulnerable members of society. It was documented at the Alma Ata conference that advancing and safeguarding public health is critical to sustained economic and communal improvement and contributes to better quality of life and global peace (Agarwal et al., 2017; Muldoon et al., 2006; Taylor et al., 2020; WHO, 1978).

Promotion of food resource and also appropriate nutrition is among eight elements that form the fundamental principles of PHC. PHC is established on the

* Corresponding author (A.M. Tiamiyu)

✉ E-mail: atiamiyu@unimed.edu.ng

ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2172-5759>

To cite: Tiamiyu A.M., Adesina I.A. (2021). Benefits and risks of aquaculture foods in primary health care: a mini-review. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 8: 52-56.

perception upon which the health is considered as a vital human right as well as a global common objective. To obtain the gallant idea of PHC, food is an important factor. Food Security Information Network (FSIN, 2019) reported that persistent starvation and undernourishment may result in both infectious and non-infectious diseases. The occurrence of chronic malnutrition seems to have risen from 20.8 to 22.7% from 2015 to 2016 (FAO, 2017). Regarding the fact that PHC includes preventive, promotional, curative, and rehabilitative care, global food security is critical to PHC policies and strategies. The implementation of PHC policies must not only control of diseases but also involves any segment of economy in public health chain. In this paper, the benefits and risks of aquaculture foods in PHC are briefly discussed.

Aquaculture and food security

A huge numbers of malnourished or hungry people have been reported particularly in the less developed countries. Thirty-five g of animal protein should be consumed by each adult person in a day; however, this value in some Africa countries such as Nigeria has been reported as less than 7 g. Many people in Sub-Saharan Africa, estimated to be about 215 million people, are suffered from malnutrition. Protein shortage can be decreased by increasing aquaculture production. Aquaculture products are less expensive than protein sourced from cattle and poultry. The need for protein supply has increased the economical value of aquaculture trade. According to FAO (2018), fish comprises about 17% of animal protein intake in the world. In addition, fish is also an important source of lipids and micronutrients. FAO (2016) reported that more than 2 billion people are undernourished owing to a shortage of essential vitamins and minerals and this situation has resulted to health crises in many people and this has been the case in many developing countries. Deficiencies of these vital vitamins and minerals are critical at key stages of human life (pregnancy, breastfeeding, childhood). Eating fish on a regular basis may reduce the risk of cardiovascular diseases.

Microbial hazards associated with aquaculture

Some important zoonotic diseases may be caused by consumption of contaminated fish and seafood. Eating raw or semi-cooked fish in the main reason for human illnesses caused by pathogenic bacteria, parasites, or viruses (Acar and Moulin, 2006; Adedeji et al., 2011; Bondad-Reantaso et al., 2005; Tiamiyu, 2016; Tiamiyu and Soladoye, 2015). Antibiotics and other chemotherapeutics are needed to treat bacteria and parasite infections in the aquaculture industries. The excessive use of anti-

microbial agents for the prevention and controlling of fish diseases may result in antimicrobial resistance as another health problem (Adedeji et al., 2011; Nya and Austin, 2011), initiating problem for animal health, environment as well as human beings (Ringø et al., 2010). Unnecessary application of antimicrobial drugs in aquaculture had led to the public health hazards which comprise expansion and spread of antimicrobial-resistant bacterial strains and the incidence of antimicrobial remains in foodstuffs derivative of aquaculture (Aly and Albutti, 2014).

Some of the food safety problems are caused by biological and chemical contaminations that can arise during cropping and handling. Pathmalal (2018) has pointed out that the excessive use of antimicrobials in aquaculture is connected with dangers linked with the treatment of human infections. WHO (2014) reported that only a few bacterial strains of cultivated fish have the capacity to infect human in temperate climates. However, in warmer climates, the risk of pathogenic bacteria is increased. *Pseudomonas* sp., *Edwardsiella* sp., and *Aeromonas hydrophila* are established to be important fish pathogens in the warmer countries however in a recent study carried out by Tiamiyu et al. (2011), some strains of bacteria such as *Bacillus*, *Escherichia*, *Klebsiella*, *Micrococcus*, *Proteus*, *Pseudomonas*, *Streptococcus*, *Salmonella*, *Staphylococcus*, and *Serratia* were isolated from fish cultured in some aquatic environments of Ibadan, Southwest, Nigeria.

Aquaculture products are promoted to solve the basic problem of PHC in food supply and proper nutrition. However, it is also noteworthy to note that they have been identified as a vehicle of food-borne bacterial and parasitic infections (Håstein et al., 2006; Novotny et al., 2004). Abraham (2011) reported that globally increasing of infectious diseases is probably related with spread of antimicrobial resistance. Disease causing bacterial strains through fish and fish foodstuffs are summarized in Tables 1 and 2.

Chemical hazards associated with aquaculture

The fish manufacturing processes may pose some chemical hazards due to the constant use of chemicals like lime, disinfectants, pesticides, inorganic fertilizers, etc. (Karagas et al., 2012; Okocha et al., 2018). Clausen et al. (2020) reported that some laboratory chemicals when inhaled could lead to development of respiratory problems such as bronchitis, rhinitis, and asthma. It has been observed that laboratory workers that have prolonged exposure to organic solvents such as chlorinated hydrocarbons, alcohols, ester, ketone, etc. are at risk of brain and nervous system damage. These chemicals are

Table 1: Disease causing bacterial strains through fish

| Bacterial pathogen | Diseases |
|---|--|
| <i>Erysipelothrix rhusiopathiae</i> | Endocarditis, fish handler's disease, fish rose |
| <i>Leptospira interrogans</i> | Leptospirosis |
| <i>Mycobacterium marinum</i> and <i>M. fortuitum</i> | Mycobacteriosis, fish tank granuloma |
| <i>Photobacterium damsela</i> * | <i>Photobacterium damsela</i> sepsis |
| <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> # and <i>P. fluorescens</i> # | Wound infections |
| <i>Streptococcus iniae</i> | Bacteremia, cellulitis, endocarditis, meningitis, septic arthritis, mad fish disease |
| <i>Vibrio alginolyticus</i> *# | Otitis media |
| <i>Vibrio vulnificus</i> *# | Septicemia |

*: Aboriginal bacterial strains

#: Allegedly present in cultivated fishes of West Bengal

Source: Abraham (2011)

Table 2: Disease causing bacterial strains through fish foodstuffs

| Bacterial pathogen | Diseases |
|---|--|
| <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> *# | Enteritis and septicemia |
| <i>Bacillus cereus</i> | Gastroenteritis |
| <i>Clostridium botulinum</i> Type E* | Botulism |
| <i>Clostridium perfringens</i> | <i>C. perfringens</i> food poisoning |
| <i>Delftia acidovorans</i> (<i>Pseudomonas acidovorans</i>) | Endocarditis, bacteremia |
| <i>Edwardsiella tarda</i> # | Gastroenteritis, septicemia, meningitis, cholecystitis, cellulitis |
| <i>Escherichia coli</i> # | Gastroenteritis |
| <i>Hafnia alvei</i> | Septicemia, gastroenteritis, meningitis, pneumonia, wound infections |
| <i>Legionella pneumophila</i> | Legionnaire's disease |
| <i>Listeria monocytogenes</i> * | Listeriosis |
| <i>Plesiomonas shigelloides</i> *# | Water-borne diseases, diarrhea |
| <i>Salmonella</i> spp.# | Salmonellosis |
| <i>Shigella</i> spp.# | Shigellosis |
| <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> # | Gastroenteritis |
| <i>Vibrio cholerae</i> *# | Cholera |
| <i>Vibrio parahaemolyticus</i> *# | Acute gastroenteritis |
| <i>Yersinia enterocolitica</i> | Yersiniosis |

*: Aboriginal bacterial pathogen

#: Allegedly present in cultured fishes of West Bengal

Source: Abraham (2011)

sometimes released into the aquaculture environments. The symptoms noticeable in affected people include premature ageing, memory impairment, mild depression, and anxiety. Erondu and Anyanwu (2005) have also attributed the following symptoms to formaldehyde poisoning: allergic dermatitis, asthma, and rhinitis. Pesticides, oil spills, and other xenobiotics can pollute ponds and water sources, which can also pose risks for workers. Some chemicals are used as flocculants in the ponds to precipitate suspended clay particles. Disinfectants (e.g. formalin hypochlorite) are also used to disinfect

equipments and holding units. Other sources of chemical hazards may be originated from water pumping machines, feed mills, smokes, which are considered serious health risks. In pond aquaculture, a variety of chemicals and other compounds are used as additives to improve soil and water quality, as well as to monitor ecological issues including phytoplankton blooms, aquatic plant infestations, disease vectors, and the abundance of wild fish (Granada et al., 2016). Table 3 highlights some of the substances used in aquaculture ponds that may leak toxic chemicals into the environment.

Table 3: Some of the substances used in aquaculture ponds that may leak toxic chemicals into the environment

| Groups | Chemical compounds | Types of hazards | | |
|--------------------------|--|---|---|--------------------------|
| | | Human | Environment | Food |
| Fertilizer | Urea (H_2NCONH_2) | explosive, irritant, possible mutagen | eutrophication, ammonia toxicity, spillage from storage, nitrification with acidic reaction | none |
| | Ammonium sulfate ($(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$) | explosive, irritant | eutrophication, ammonia toxicity, spillage from storage, nitrification with acidic reaction | none |
| | Potassium nitrate (KNO_3) | explosive, irritant, anemia | eutrophication, ammonia toxicity | none |
| | Phosphoric acid (H_3PO_4) | irritant, | spillage from storage, eutrophication | none |
| | Potassium chloride or muriate of potash (KCl) Triple superphosphate ($\text{Ca}(\text{H}_2\text{PO}_4)_2$) | irritant | spillage from storage, eutrophication | none |
| Liming materials | Agricultural limestone (pulverized CaCO_3 or $\text{CaCO}_3\text{-MgO}$) | none | None | none |
| | Burnt lime (CaO or $\text{CaO}\text{-MgO}$) | Strong, caustic, Irritant | spillage from storage, high pH | none |
| | Hydrated lime ($\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$) or $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2\text{-Mg}(\text{OH})_2$ | Caustic, irritant | spillage from storage, high pH | none |
| Oxidants | Potassium permanganate (KMnO_4) | Explosive if in contact with organic substances, irritant | Spillage from storage, toxicity | none |
| | Hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) or calcium peroxide (CaO_2) | Irritant if highly concentrated | spillage from storage | none |
| | Calcium hypochlorite ($\text{Ca}(\text{OCl})_2$) | Irritant, poisonous | formation of trihalomethanes and Chlorinated hydrocarbons that are suspected carcinogens, toxic | none |
| Coagulants | Ferric chloride (FeCl_3) | irritant | if spilled, acidity and toxicity | none |
| | Aluminum sulfate or alum ($\text{Al}_2(\text{SO}_4)_3\text{-}14\text{H}_2\text{O}$) | acidic | if spilled, acidity and aluminum toxicity | none |
| | Calcium sulfate or gypsum ($\text{CaSO}_4\text{-}2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) | none | none | none |
| Osmoregulators | Salt (NaCl) | irritant | increase in salinity | not generally considered |
| | Calcium sulfate or gypsum ($\text{CaSO}_4\text{-}2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) | none | none | poisonous |
| Algicides and Herbicides | Copper sulfate ($\text{CuSO}_4\text{-}2\text{H}_2\text{O}$) | strong irritant | toxic to aquatic life in high concentrations | not bioaccumulative |
| | Chelated copper compounds | none | copper can be toxic to aquatic life if high doses utilized | none |
| Piscicides | Dyes (food coloring compounds) | none | none | none |
| | Rotenone ($\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{22}\text{O}_6$) | avoid inhalation-irritant, | low residual time, toxicity | none |
| | Potassium permanganate (KMnO_4) | Explosive if in contact with organic substances, irritant | Spillage from storage, toxicity | none |
| | Formalin-formaldehyde solution | avoid inhalation, irritant | toxicity | none |

Source: Boyd and Massaut (1999)

Conclusion

In this paper, benefits of aquaculture and also some important microbial and chemical hazards associated with aquaculture are reviewed, highlighting necessity of attention to PHC principles. It is recommended that food safety should be given proper attention in terms of proper production, transportation, storage of the aquaculture products in order to maintain the nutritional value. The

ecological and environmental factors must also be considered to improve aquaculture safety.

Author contributions

A.M.T. wrote the manuscript; I.A.A. edited the manuscript. Authors read and approved the revised manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

All the authors declared that there is no conflict of interest in the study.

Acknowledgements

This study did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or non-profit sectors.

References

Abraham T.J. (2011). Food safety hazards related to emerging antibiotic resistant bacteria in cultured freshwater fishes of Kolkata, India. *Advance Journal of Food Science and Technology*. 3: 69-72.

Acar J.F., Moulin G. (2006). Antimicrobial resistance at farm level. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*. 25: 775-792. [DOI: 10.20506/rst.25.2.1695]

Adedeji O.B., Tiamiyu A.M., Emikpe B.O. (2011). The antibiotic resistant patterns of bacterial flora of fish from different aquatic environments from Ibadan, South-west Nigeria. *Advances in Environmental Biology*. 5: 2039-2047.

Agarwal R., Jain P., Ghosh M.S., Parihar K.S. (2017). Importance of primary health care in the society. *International Journal of Health Sciences*. 1: 6-11. [DOI: 10.21744/ijhs.v1i1.17]

Aly S.M., Albutti A. (2014). Antimicrobials use in aquaculture and their public health impact. *Journal of Aquaculture Research and Development*. 5: 247. [DOI: 10.4172/2155-9546.1000247]

Bondad-Reantaso M.G., Subasinghe R.P., Arthur J.R., Ogawa K., Chinabut S., Adlard R., Tan Z., Shariff M. (2005). Disease and health management in Asian aquaculture. *Veterinary Parasitology*. 132: 249-272. [DOI: 10.1016/j.vetpar.2005.07.005]

Boyd C.E., Massau L. (1999). Risks associated with the use of chemicals in pond aquaculture. *Aquacultural Engineering*. 20: 113-132. [DOI: 10.1016/S0144-8609(99)00010-2]

Clausen P.A., Frederiksen M., Sejbæk C.S., Sørli J.B., Hougaard K.S., Frydendall K.B., Carøe T.K., Flachs E.M., Meyer H.W., Schlünssen V., Wolkoff P. (2020). Chemicals inhaled from spray cleaning and disinfection products and their respiratory effects. A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Hygiene and Environmental Health*. 229: 113592. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijheh.2020.113592]

Erondu E.S., Anyanwu P.E. (2005). Potential hazards and risks associated with the aquaculture industry. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 4: 1622-1627. [DOI: 10.4314/ajfand.v4i13.71775]

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2016). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture, 2016: Contributing to food security and nutrition for all.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2017). Africa regional overview of food security and nutrition report.

Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO). (2018). FAO yearbook. Fishery and aquaculture statistics.

Food Security Information Network (FSIN). (2019). Global report on food crises 2019.

Granada L., Sousa N., Lopes S., Lemos M.F.L. (2016). Is integrated multitrophic aquaculture the solution to the sectors' major challenges?-a review. *Reviews in Aquaculture*. 8: 283-300. [DOI: 10.1111/raq.12093]

Håstein T., Hjeltnes B., Lillehaug A., Utne Skåre J., Berntssen M., Lundebye A.K. (2006). Food safety hazards that occur during the production stage: challenges for fish farming and the fishing industry. *Revue Scientifique et Technique*. 25: 607-625. [DOI: 10.20506/rst.25.2.1678]

Karagas M.R., Choi A.L., Oken E., Horvat M., Schoeny R., Kamai E., Cowell W., Grandjean P., Korrick S. (2012). Evidence on the human health effects of low-level methylmercury exposure. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 120: 799-806. [DOI: 10.1289/ehp.1104494]

Muldoon L.K., Hogg W.E., Levitt M. (2006). Primary care (PC) and primary health care (PHC). *Canadian Journal of Public Health*. 97: 409-411. [DOI: 10.1007/BF03405354]

Novotny L., Dvorska L., Lorencova A., Beran V., Pavlik I. (2004). Fish: a potential source of bacterial pathogens for human beings. *Veterinarni Medicina*. 49: 343-358. [DOI: 10.17221/5715-VETMED]

Nya E.J., Austin B. (2011). Development of immunity in rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*, Walbaum) to *Aeromonas hydrophila* after the dietary application of garlic. *Fish and Shellfish Immunology*. 30: 845-850. [DOI: 10.1016/j.fsi.2011.01.008]

Okocha R.C., Olatoye I.O., Adedeji O.B. (2018). Food safety impacts of antimicrobial use and their residues in aquaculture. *Public Health Reviews*. 39: 21. [DOI: 10.1186/s40985-018-0099-2]

Pathmalal M.M. (2018). Heavy use of antibiotics in aquaculture: emerging human and animal health problems-a review. *Sri Lanka Journal of Aquatic Sciences*. 23: 13-27. [DOI: 10.4038/sljas.v23i1.7543]

Ringø E., Olsen R.E., Gifstad T.Ø., Dalmo R.A., Amlund H., Hemre G.-I., Bakke A.M. (2010). Prebiotics in aquaculture: a review. *Aquaculture Nutrition*. 16: 117-136. [DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2095.2009.00731.x]

Taylor J., O'Hara L., Talbot L., Verrinder G. (2020). Promoting health: the primary health care approach. Elsevier, UK.

Tiamiyu A.M. (2016). Bacterial flora of wild and cultured *Clarias gariepinus* (African Catfish) and their public health implications. *Advances in Biomedicine and Pharmacy*. 3: 38-45. [DOI: 10.19046/abp.v03i01.06]

Tiamiyu A.M., Emikpe B.O., Adedeji O.B. (2011). Isolation and identification of aerobic bacteria flora of the skin and stomach of wild and cultured *Clarias gariepinus* and *Oreochromis niloticus* from Ibadan, Southwest Nigeria. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*. 7: 1047-1051.

Tiamiyu A.M., Soladoye M.O. (2015). Antibiotics resistance in bacteria strains isolated from fish: potential health risk. *Journal of Biology, Agriculture and Healthcare*. 5: 65-74.

World Health Organization (WHO). (1978). Declaration of Alma-Ata. International Conference on Primary Health Care, Alma-Ata.

World Health Organization (WHO). (2014). Antimicrobial resistance: global report on surveillance.